



This form is used in the following call: **Funding for mobility cooperation**
Referring to the Research Council of Finland's agreements with JSPS, NSFC, CAS and DAAD.

Application number: _____

Applicant: _____

Reviewer: _____

Review criteria

1. Project Quality

- Topicality
- Scientific quality
- Clarity of project goals
- Relevance of the mobility plan
- Relevance of the project to the call aims
- How aspect/principles of responsible science are taken into account?

2. Qualifications and complementarity of research teams

- Project-relevant competence of Finnish team
- Project-relevant competence of foreign team
- Complementarity of the two teams

3. Participation of young researchers

- Scientific importance of project for young researchers

4. Added value of cooperation

- Impact on society i.e. added value beyond the results and scientific impact described in section 1

Evaluation

- Main strengths of project:

- Main weaknesses of project:

- Numerical rating:

Instructions for evaluation



Research Council of Finland

Please provide both written feedback and numerical rating. Rating scale is 1-10:

- 10 = very strong recommendation for funding
- 9 = strong recommendation for funding
- 8 = recommendation for funding
- 7 = full consent for funding
- 6 = consent for funding
- 5 = no recommendation for funding
- 1-4 = no consent for funding